

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
02/28/2002	Robert J. Ward	INVSC.4	9027	
0 05/18/2005		EXAM	INER	
		MAI, T	MAI, TRI M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3727		
	02/28/2002	02/28/2002 Robert J. Ward 0 05/18/2005	02/28/2002 Robert J. Ward INVSC.4 0 05/18/2005 EXAM MAI, T eet 62 ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/086,584	WARD ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tri M. Mai	3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 44-48,54 and 60 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 44-48,54 and 60 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)
 Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	rate Patent Application (PTO-152)

'Application/Control Number: 10/086,584

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the outer cloth material (cl. 46) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Claims 44, 46, 54, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carswell (5927489) or Verge (5419477) in view of Shih (6138727). Carswell teaches an apparatus having an outer layer 20 having a top portion, a bottom portion, an interior surface an opening formed at the bottom portion, an internal volume with the material being waterproof and a moisture absorbent member 12 operable to be inserted through the opening of the bottom

'Application/Control Number: 10/086,584

Art Unit: 3727

portion, and a hook 14 (col. 5, ln. 1). The absorbent member is capable to receive the grip of a shaft of a golf club and operable to be disassembled from the outer layer (col. 5, ln. 50), a hook 14 (col. 5, ln. 1). Verge teaches an apparatus having an outer layer and an inner layer 22.

Either Carswell or Verge meets all claimed limitations except for the material being a foam material. Shih teaches that it is known in the art to provide a cover being made from foam material col. 3, ln. 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the cover from foam material in either Carswell or Verge as taught by Shih to provide an alternative material.

Regarding claim 46, note the cloth coated cloth layer (col. 3, ln. 22).

Regarding claim 54, note neoprene in col. 3, ln. 22.

Regarding claim 60, note the elliptical shape about portion 30 in Fig. 3.

- 3. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Carswell or Verge rejection as set forth above in view of Lamonakis et al. (5372414). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide absorbent layer being a rectangular shape in Carswell as taught by Lamonakis to provide an alternative inner layer.
- 4. Claims 44, 46, 47, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carswell (5927489) or Verge in view of Solheim (4667716). Either Carswell or Verge teaches an apparatus as claimed. Either Carswell or Verge or meets all claimed limitations except for the material being a foam material. Solheim teaches that it is known in the art to provide a cover being made from a closed foam material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the cover from foam material in either Carswell or Verge as taught by Solheim to provide an alternative material.

*Application/Control Number: 10/086,584 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Regarding claim 47, note closed-cell foam, polyethylene foam, col. 5, ln. 45.

5. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Carswell or Verge rejections in paragraphs 1 and 3, and further in view of Sessler (3913648). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a logo in either Carswell or Verge as taught by Sessler to provide advertisement.

- 6. Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the either Carswell or Verge rejections in paragraphs 1 and 3, and further in view of Lamonakis et al. (5372414). To the degree it is argued that Carswell does not teach the elliptical opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an elliptical opening in Carswell as taught by Lamonakis to provide the desired opening for the cover.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W Young can be reached on (571)272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/086,584

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tri M. Mai

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727